

Cryptococcosis in Australian Wildlife

Cryptococcosis is the most important systemic fungal disease to affect mammalian hosts in Australia. Although recent taxonomic developments have somewhat confused the nomenclature of the causative agents, it is now generally accepted that the *Cryptococcus neoformans* complex may be divided into two separate species, namely *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* (Table 1).

C. gattii is an important cause of cryptococcosis in animals and people in Australia compared with other regions of the world ^{5,6}. In Australia, the incidence ranges up to 19 cases per 10 ⁶ population (50% caused by *C. gattii*) in the Northern Territory ⁶. Cryptococcosis caused by *C. neoformans* var *grubii* in people, tends to be associated with defective cell mediated defences. However, overt immune compromise does not appear to be an important feature of disease caused

Mark Krockenberger, Katbryn Stalder, Richard Malik, Paul Canfield

Faculty of Veterinary Science B14 University of Sydney, NSW. 2006. Tel: (02) 9351 2023 Fax: (02) 9351 7421 E-mail: mkrocken@mail.usyd.edu.au

by *C. gattii* ⁶ - it is an environmentally acquired disease, usually sporadic in occurrence. While outbreaks from a common environmental source have been recorded in animals and people⁷⁹, anthropozoonotic or animal-animal transmission has not.

Although *C. n.* var *grubii* is the most common cause of cryptococcosis in cats and dogs in Australia, where it appears to produce disease predominantly in apparently immunocompetent individuals, approximately 30% of cases in

Figure 1. Birdseed agar plate of nasal swab from a koala. Note the mucoid brown colonies consistent with the appearance *C. gattii*. Definitive identification requires further characterisation including assimilation tests and growth on CGB agar



cats and 17% of cases in dogs are caused by *C. gattii* ¹⁰.

Whilst there are only small numbers of documented cases of cryptococcosis in other domestic animals, *C. gattii* also appears to be a significant cause in ferrets ¹¹, horses ^{12,13} (A MacLachlan pers comm), goats ¹⁴, alpacas ¹⁵ and sheep ¹⁶.

The cryptococcal story in Australian wildlife is both intriguing and exciting, as C. gattii accounts for over 90% of cases as culture determined by and/or immunohistochemistry¹⁷. Of the 72 cases of cryptococcosis in Australian wildlife brought to our attention, the causative agent was shown to be C. gattii in 44 cases (34 koalas, 2 Australian king parrots, 2 corellas, 1 Major Mitchell cockatoo, 1 Gilbert's potoroo, 1 quokka, 1 feather tail glider, 1 sticknest rat, 1 eastern water skink). In only 3 cases (1 gang gang cockatoo, 1 potoroo, 1 sugar glider) has C. neoformans var grubii been implicated based on immunohistology, while the remaining 24 cases had insufficient material to be classifiable.

Importantly, all koalas with cryptococcosis studied to date have been shown to have C. gattii disease based on either culture or immunohistochemistry. Furthermore, in all cases where isolates were submitted for molecular testing, the VGI molecular type was diagnosed. Although this is not surprising, given the association between this species, the molecular type (VGI) and eucalypts (especially E. camaldulensis and E. tereticornis - for a full list of eucalypt associations, see Microbiology Australia 23:29-30), it is interesting that in our work, no C. neoformans infections have been recorded, even in suspected immunocompromised koalas living close to the Sydney CBD. Likewise, no VGII infections have been recorded, although this is in accord with the observation that



Under the Microscope

Figure 2. Histopathology of early nasal mucosal invasion by *C. gattii*. Low power image of nasal mucosa with inset of high power view. It is envisaged that subclinical disease in koalas is represented by this degree of invasion.



Figure 3. Koala with nasal cryptococcosis. (Photo Courtesy of Robert Johnson.) Note the distortion of the rostral left side of the face.



Table 1. Evolution of nomenclature for Cryptococcus spp.

Kwon Chung	Franzot	Boekhut	Kwon Chung
<i>et al.</i> (1982) ¹	<i>et al.</i> (1999) ²	<i>et al.</i> (2001) ³	<i>et al.</i> (2002) ⁴ Currently Accepted
C. n. var. neoformans (serotypes A, D, AD)	C. <i>n</i> . var. <i>grubii</i> (serotype A)	C. <i>neoformans</i> s (serotypes A, D, AD)	C. <i>n</i> . var. <i>grubii</i> (serotype A)
	C. <i>n</i> . var. <i>neoformans</i> (serotype D)		C. <i>n</i> . var. <i>neoformans</i> (serotype D)
C. <i>n</i> . var. <i>gattii</i> (serotypes B, C)	C. <i>n</i> . var. <i>gattii</i> (serotypes B, C)	C. <i>bacillisporus</i> (serotypes B, C)	C. gattii (serotypes B, C)

koalas are not native to either Western Australia or the Northern Territory (Arnhem Land), places where VGII is known to be associated with increased prevalence of cryptococcosis in people. Environmental associations for VGII strains have not been well established.

We have been studying C. gattii infections in koalas for many years, as this represents a unique 'model system' for charting the progression from nasal colonisation (Figure 1) to subclinical, and sometimes to symptomatic disease. It has become apparent that clinical disease represents only a minor proportion of outcomes of the Host-Pathogen-Environment interaction (HPE). By far the most common result of this dynamic interaction is the establishment of colonisation of the nasal passages or subclinical localised tissue invasion of the sinonasal region (Figure 2). Although it is impossible to be definitive regarding the cut-off between subclinical and clinical disease, with respect to a single serum cryptococcal antigen titre, what the koala story tells us is that subclinical cryptococcosis is likely to be an important entity in all species. This is reinforced circumstantially by the findings concerning exposure of young children to C. neoformans in New York City¹⁸. Of great interest is determination of host, pathogen and environment factors that tip the balance towards symptomatic disease in the case of C. gattii.

We continue to monitor certain captive populations in which it appears that koalas somehow amplify the numbers of C. gattii in their immediate environment. We are unclear whether the same phenomenon occurs in nature, but the importance of cryptococcosis was recently emphasised by a retrospective study of over 1,100 koala necropsies conducted 1980. since where approximately 4% of animals were diagnosed with at necropsy cryptococcosis ¹⁹ Funding to support cryptococcosis research would be much more forthcoming if a similar statistic existed for human patients.



Ongoing studies will help to determine more of the pathogen, environmental and host factors that influence the pathogenesis of cryptococcosis. We are in the process of determining whether therapy with azole antifungals is capable of reducing nasal colonisation - thus far, itraconazole therapy would appear incapable of achieving this end - and whether treating subclinically affected individuals facilitates resolution of inapparent disease. Unfortunately, our present record of treating koalas with cryptococcosis suggests improvement is needed. One recent case with localised invasive mycotic rhinitis eventually succumbed despite many months of treatment with itraconazole and surgical debulking of the major lesion (Figure 3). Another recent case with only subclinical disease was treated pre-emptively in response to a rising cryptococcal antigen titre (Figure 4); despite treatment with itraconazole to the endpoint of a negative serum antigen titre for 6 months, the koala subsequently developed fatal central nervous system disease. Clearly we have a long way to go in developing treatment regimens applicable to captive koalas, and studies of fluconazole for this purpose are planned for later this year.

Naturally-occurring koala cryptococcosis appears to be a perfect model of disease

Figure 4. Serial LCAT chart.



for the investigation of cryptococcosis caused by C. gattii in people and animals. We suspect that, as in people, overt host immune compromise is not likely to be an important feature of cryptococcosis caused by C. gattii in Australian wildlife. Disease is more likely to be associated with exposure to unusually large numbers infectious propagules and/or of concurrent variables such as nutritional, physiological or environmental factors that impinge on host defences. The role of the pathogen genotype is currently unclear. Determining the critical features of the HPE interaction, including investigation of antibody and cytokine responses, environmental biotic associations and pathogen genotype, is an ongoing process. Hopefully such studies will reveal much about the behaviour of this disease in all species. We currently have eight staff and graduate students in our koala infectious disease team, and have funding for an additional committed PhD scholar to join us.

References

- Kwon-Chung KJ, Bennett JE, Rhodes JC. 1 Taxonomic studies on Filobasidiella species and their anamorphs. Antonie Van Leeuwenboek 1982; 48(1): 25-38.
- Franzot SP, Salkin IF, Casadevall A. Cryptococcus neoformans var. grubii: separate varietal status for Cryptococcus neoformans serotype A isolates. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 1999; 37(3): 838-40.

- 3. Boekhout T, Theelen B, Diaz M et al. Hybrid genotypes in the pathogenic yeast Cryptococcus neoformans. Microbiology 2001; 147(4): 891-907
- Kwon-Chung KJ, Boekhout T, Fell JW, Diaz M. 4. (1557) Proposal to conserve the name Cryptococcus gattii against C. hondurianus and C. bacillisporus (Basidiomycota, Hymenomycetes, Tremellomycetidae). Taxon 2002; 51: 804-806.
- Ellis DH. Cryptococcus neoformans var. gattii in 5. Australia, Journal of Clinical Microbiology 1987; 25(2): 430-1.
- Chen S, Sorrell T, Nimmo G et al. Epidemiology 6. and host - and variety-dependent characteristics of infection due to Cryptococcus neoformans in Australia and New Zealand. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2000; 31(2): 499-508.
- 7. Lester SJ, Kowalewich NJ, Bartlett KH et al. Clinicopathologic features of an unusual outbreak of cryptococcosis in dogs, cats, ferrets, and a bird: 38 cases (January to July 2003). Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 2004; 225(11): 1716-22.
- Tell LA, Nichols DK, Fleming WP, Bush M. 8. Cryptococcosis in tree shrews (Tupaia tana and Tupaia minor) and elephant shrews (Macroscelides proboscides). Journal of Zoo & Wildlife Medicine 1997; 28(2): 175-81.
- Hill FI, Woodgyer AJ, Lintott MA. Cryptococcosis 9. in a North Island brown kiwi (Apteryx australis mantelli) in New Zealand. Journal of Medical & Veterinary Mycology 1995; 33(5): 305-9.
- 10. O'Brien CR, Krockenberger MB, Wigney DI, Martin P, Malik R. Retrospective study of feline and canine cryptococcosis in Australia from 1981 to 2001: 195 cases. Med Mycol 2004; 42(5): 449-60.
- 11. Malik R, Alderton B, Finlaison D et al. Cryptococcosis in ferrets: a diverse spectrum of clinical disease. Australian Veterinary Journal. 2002; 80(12): 749-55.
- 12. Riley CB, Bolton JR, Mills JN, Thomas JB. Cryptococcosis in seven horses. Australian Veterinary Journal 1992; 69(6): 135-9.
- 13. Begg LM, Hughes K, Kessell A et al. Successful treatment of cryptococcal pneumonia in a pony mare. Australian Veterinary Journal 2004; 82(11): 686-692.
- 14. Chapman HM, Robinson WF, Bolton JR, Robertson IP Cryptococcus neoformans infection in goats. Australian Veterinary Journal 1990; 67(7): 263-5.
- 15. Goodchild LM, Dart AJ, Collins MB et al. Cryptococcal meningitis in an alpaca. Australian Veterinary Journal 1996; 74(6): 428-430.
- 16. Laws L, Simmons GC. Cryptococcosis in a sheep. Aust Vet J 1966; 42: 321-323.
- 17. Krockenberger MB, Canfield PJ, Kozel TR et al. An immunohistochemical method that differentiates Cryptococcus neoformans varieties and serotypes in formalin-fixed paraffinembedded tissues. Med Mycol 2001; 39: 523-533.
- 18. Goldman DL, Khine H, Abadi J et al. Serologic Evidence for Cryptococcus neoformans Infection in Early Childhood. Pediatrics 2001; 107(5): e66-.
- 19. Stalder K BSc(vet) thesis, University of Sydney.